



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Boudreau, 231 Ill. 228, 83 N. E. 218; *Baty v. Elrod*, 66 Neb. 735, 97 N. W. 343. This would seem to be the better rule, since to hold that the possession is not adverse if held innocently and through mistake is to introduce a new element into the law of adverse possession, whereby the more satisfactory and stable evidence of visible possession is supplanted by an inquiry into the invisible motives and intentions of the occupant, which are matters difficult of determination and even more difficult of proof. *French v. Pierce*, *supra*. See MINOR, REAL PROP., § 1036; WARVELLE, EJECTMENT, §§ 440, 441.

BULK SALES ACT—SCOPE—TRANSFER TO CREDITOR IN SATISFACTION OF DEBT.—A dealer in merchandise transferred his stock to his creditor, upon an agreement that the creditor should sell and remit the remaining proceeds to the debtor, after deducting the amount of the indebtedness. No notice of the transaction was given to the other creditors of the debtor in accordance with the bulk sales act of that state. *Held*, notice was unnecessary, as the transaction was not within the purview of the act. *Des Moines Packing Co. v. Uncaphor* (Iowa), 156 N. W. 171. See NOTES, p. 550.

CARRIERS—CARRIAGE OF PASSENGERS—DUTY OF CARRIER.—A passenger on an interurban car was negligently carried past his destination, and set out to walk back to that place on the railway track. While crossing a trestle in the course of his walk, he was struck by one of the railway's cars and was killed. This action was then brought by the father of the infant decedent to recover for the loss of his services. *Held*, a recovery is allowed. *Terre Haute I. & E. Traction Co. v. Hunter* (Ind.), 111 N. E. 344.

Where a contract of carriage is made between a passenger and carrier, the carrier is under a duty to stop at the passenger's destination. *Hall v. E. L. & E. R. Co.*, 66 Tex. 619, 2 S. W. 831; *Caldwell v. Richmond & D. Ry. Co.*, 89 Ga. 550, 15 S. E. 678. And where the passenger is carried beyond his destination, damages for the breach of the contract may be recovered. *E. & R. R. Co. v. Kyte*, 6 Ind. App. 52, 32 N. E. 1134; *Reimard v. Bloomsburg & S. R. Co.*, 228 Pa. 384, 77 Atl. 560; *A. C. G. & R. Ry. Co. v. Cox*, 173 Ala. 629, 55 South. 909. If no actual injury can be shown, nominal damages may nevertheless be recovered. *Sappington v. A. & W. R. R. Co.*, 127 Ga. 178, 56 S. E. 311. Where the passenger is willfully carried past his destination, exemplary damages may be awarded. *Samuels v. R. & D. R. Co.*, 35 S. C. 493, 14 S. E. 943, 28 Am. St. Rep. 883; *Harlan v. Wabash Ry. Co.*, 117 Mo. App. 537, 94 S. W. 737. And some courts hold that damages caused from sickness induced by exposure are too remote to support a recovery in such cases. *Hobbs v. L. & S. W. Ry. Co.*, L. R. 10 Q. B. 111, 44 L. J. Q. B. 49, 32 L. T. Rep. N. S. 352; *Childs v. N. Y. O. & W. Ry. Co.*, 77 Hun. (N. Y.) 539, 28 N. Y. Sup. 894.

Much of the seeming conflict here, however, can be cleared up by observing the nature of the action brought. Where the action is for the breach of the contract, recovery is allowed only for damages which may be reasonably supposed to have been in the contemplation of the